

HEALTH REFORM, THE HOSPITAL, AND THE LAW

David Dranove, PhD

Northwestern University and Bates White

Key Themes of Health Reform

- ▣ Expand coverage
 - Largely by expanding private sector coverage
- ▣ Reduce costs
 - Cutbacks in payments
 - Innovative payment mechanisms
 - Encourage innovative provider organization
- ▣ Promote Electronic Health Records
 - Standardization
 - Subsidization

Expanding Coverage

- ▣ More enrollees could give payers more purchasing power
 - Research by Lakdawalla and Yin finds that Medicare Part D expansions allowed insurers to negotiate lower rates
 - Will expansion of hospital coverage have similar effect?
 - Will this intensify hospital quest for “countervailing power?”
- ▣ But expansion of coverage may be limited to small group and individual market
 - Could encourage growth of smaller insurers
 - Could intensify competition and give providers alternatives if large purchasers demand deep discounts

Reduce Costs through Fee Reductions

- Payment reductions *appear* inevitable
 - Formulaic payment reductions for MDs were built into the MMA of 1997
 - Every year, AMA successfully lobbies for restoration of cuts
- If payment reductions materialize, hospitals and doctors will be forced to make up for losses
 - Reduce capacity
 - Exit
 - Consolidate to obtain greater power over private prices
 - Improve efficiency
- First three responses can lead to higher market concentration and greater antitrust scrutiny

Reduce Costs through Innovative Payments

- ▣ “Episode of illness” payments are favored by policy analysts
 - Lump sum paid to central office that must allocate funds to individual providers
 - Similar to PHO or IDS, but payment is per episode rather than capitated for year
- ▣ Necessitates vertical control
 - Research in 1990s ambiguous about anticompetitive effects of vertical mergers
- ▣ Encourage medical credentialing
 - Likely to lead to exclusive dealing/tying complaints by excluded providers

Reduce costs through Innovative Organizations

- ▣ Legislation may actively promote IDS
 - Mid-size markets may only have room for one or two IDS; e.g., Milwaukee
 - Antitrust exemptions will be on the table (Shortell called for such exemptions in his 1990s IDS proposals)
- ▣ Unusual market configurations may emerge
 - Competitive IDSs with “dumping ground” fringe
 - Role for community health centers? Medicaid providers?

Electronic Health Records

- ▣ Sold as way to aid medical decision making
- ▣ Just as important for management
 - Critical for implementing incentives and evaluating performance
 - PHOs and IDs will depend on them
- ▣ Integration facilitates EHR through standardization and transactions cost economics
 - Central office solves problems created by markets
 - Could be used to justify integration
 - Basis for numerous agency enforcement actions against MD groups (failure to integrate EHR a key element in many cases)
- ▣ Will health reform remove the EHR justification?
 - Government standards and subsidies allow independent providers to operate at arms length

Bottom Line on Health Reform

- ▣ *If we have health reform*
 - Policy makers will expect new organizations to emerge
 - Antitrust agencies will be expected to accommodate these changes
- ▣ Medicare payments to providers will almost certainly fall
 - Provider markets will necessarily evolve in ways that lessen competition
- ▣ Something will have to give
 - Less money in a system implies less capacity
 - Antitrust enforcement will either intensify or be legislated away